

**NOTES FROM THE ANNUAL PARISH ASSEMBLY**  
**HELD AT ALL SAINTS CHURCH ODIHAM**  
**ON TUESDAY 1 MAY 2018 AT 7:30PM**

**Present:**

Cllr Jon Hale (JH)	Chairman of Council and Chair of Community Committee
Cllr Mark Faulkner (MF)	Vice-Chair of Council and Chair of Planning and Development Committee
Cllr John Bell (JB)	Chair of Traffic and Transport Committee
Cllr Jeremy Fellows (JF)	
Cllr Richard Harris (RH)	
Cllr James Robinson-Giannasi (JRG)	
Cllr David Stewart (DS)	
Cllr Craig Worboys (CW)	Chair of Amenity Committee
Mrs Sarah Weir	Clerk to Odiham Parish Council
Mrs Nicola Anderson	Deputy Clerk to Odiham Parish Council
District Cllr Ken Crookes (KC)	
District Cllr John Kennett (JK)	
County Cllr Jonathan Glen (JG)	
PCSO Liz Wallace – Hampshire Police (LW)	

**1. Apologies**

Apologies were received from Cllr Jean Marc David (JMD)

**2. Chairman's welcome**

The Chairman of OPC welcomed approximately 100 members of the public to the Annual Parish Assembly and went through the various housekeeping items, which included pointing out the fire exits and to advising the assembly that a member of the public would be recording the meeting.

The Chairman ran through the agenda of the meeting and explained that whilst in the past speakers had been invited to the meeting, on this occasion he wanted to allocate as much time as possible to provide members of the public the opportunity to ask questions. He explained that County Cllr Glen had kindly agreed to comper the question time, which would prevent any suggestion of bias.

OPC had received questions from 5 residents prior to the closing date of 23 April 2018 which the Chairman would endeavour to answer during the presentation on the committee highlights of the year.

**3. Signing of the minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday 23 May 2017**

The minutes from the meeting held on Tuesday 23 May 2017 were signed as an accurate record of the meeting.

**4. Committee highlights of the year**

The Chairman advised that a parish poll had been called by residents. Whilst the notice of the parish poll had been posted on OPC's website, noticeboards and advertised on social media, this meeting was not a campaign meeting. He was however aware that requisitioners of the parish poll had held a meeting immediately prior to the Annual Parish Assembly in the same venue.

The Chairman outlined the structure of OPC, which is made up of 10 Cllrs and has 5 committees. He indicated which committees the Cllrs sat on and the chairs of each committee. He explained that as Chairman of the council he was obliged to sit on all committees.

The Chairman provided an update on the following:

**Rural Exception Scheme** - will provide homes for local people and has been hard to deliver. However, 2 possible sites have been identified and OPC are currently working closely with HARA and Odiham Consolidated Charities to deliver local affordable homes, specifically for people with a local connection. The Chairman explained that OPC feels confident that they are close to delivering 2 sites and a full consultation is expected very soon.

**The Annual Monitoring Report for the NH Plan 2018** has today been published (Appendix 2). Copies were available at the meeting and would be added to the website tomorrow. The report gives an overview of NH Planning, it summarises how and why monitoring is undertaken, provides an overview of housing, Odiham High Street, parking, infrastructure projects and the progress made with the aims and proposals in the Plan.

**The Deer Park** - the planning application has been on the radar for the past 3 years. There has been various question and answer sessions in public and a number of questions had been asked prior to the APA. Although the public and OPC as a statutory consultee have submitted comments on the application, Hart DC are still to issue a decision due to the complex proposal. OPC supported the application by a majority vote due to the benefits to the public being offered in the application.

The Chairman advised that an update from Hart DC's Chief Executive had been received today and copies of this correspondence are available after the meeting (Appendix 1). The Chief Executive indicated that at this time Hart DC have made no decisions about how to proceed with this complex proposal. However, they are going to seek further information from the applicant in order to better understand the applicant's proposals to enable Hart DC to more fully evaluate the application. It was noted that Heritage England agreed that it is for Hart DC to take both the heritage and wider public benefits into account in making the overall planning balance. In order to make a fully informed decision, further information is required from the applicant to help in the evaluation of any possible additional public benefit and they have invited the applicant to submit a full, open and transparent financial appraisal so that Hart DC can assess the planning balance set against the identified harm. The Chairman also highlighted that Hart DC are the Local Planning Authority and are well aware of the policies in the NH Plan and has recognised the good collaborative working relationship they have with OPC.

Questions received prior to the APA:

1. 'Why did OPC support the Deer Park application?'

The Chairman explained that OPC were asked by Hart DC to consider the public benefits that the proposal delivered. Cllrs who supported the scheme did so by measuring the benefits offered against the aims and objectives in our Neighbourhood Plan, which include:

- Making the land more accessible
- Providing a safe cycleway between Odiham and North Warnborough
- Sustaining and improving key footpaths for all residents, including those with limited mobility
- Supporting our High Street by providing visitors and residents with an attractive destination
- Better linking the canal wharf, tow path, High Street and castle - making an enhanced visitor proposition
- Providing community ownership of a large part of the land with stronger covenants tying in the community to any future decisions that will help prevent future and possibly larger development

2. 'What does better protection mean?'

The Chairman referred to a map of the Deer Park as shown on the overhead projector at the meeting (Appendix 3). He explained that the blue area will be land owned by the community. The area in green would have a legal agreement between the land owner, Hart DC and OPC to prevent future development. This would be offered in perpetuity to meet heritage objectives of the Odiham Conservation Area. As the

Odiham Conservation Area is not going anywhere this would help to ensure that this legal agreement would remain relevant if challenged in the future.

3. 'Why have OPC not already sought legal advice?'

Hart DC have promised to loop OPC in when the legal side of things need to be fully explored. The Chairman advised that if and when the time comes, OPC will take legal advice.

4. 'Explain the cycleway?'

The red line on the map shows an all-weather path, suitable for cycles, prams and walkers which would improve access and enjoyment of the land (Appendix 4). The provision of a cycleway would safely connect one end of Odiham (near the canal wharf) through to North Warnborough. Connecting North Warnborough and Odiham with a safe cycle way is an aim/objective in our NH Plan. Some people have questioned the access/landownership at the Odiham end, however the owner's agent has been clear that their title deed show ownership and Hart DC have agreed that rights can be made for this to be a cycle way and footpath.

The Chair went on to explain that the access and the North Warnborough end is a footpath and our understanding is that Hampshire CC have been engaged and do not anticipate conversion of this to allow cycle access an issue, especially given the Cycle Tracks Act 1984, providing authorities with the right to do this.

An overview on each committee over the last year was provided:

Amenity Committee - Chaired by Cllr Craig Worboys

The Amenity committee's inherited allotment project is now dangerously close to being finished. The Chairman recognised the hard work of Cllr Worboys, as Chair of this committee, in working towards completion of this project that first began 10 years ago. A resident had asked 'Why they had not been contacted about the allotments?' The Chairman advised that all residents who had registered an interest in an allotment plot should have been contacted by the office and urged anyone that hadn't to contact the office.

The Junior Play Park project is underway with a target completion date of the start of the school's summer holidays. There is a funding strategy in place for this project. Research had been carried out first which included Buryfields and Mayhill School. There is also a funding strategy in place for the Teen Amenity Project which is for the conversion of the basketball court into a MUGA and the installation of a fitness trail. Again, research had been carried out and this project has a target completion date of the school's summer holidays.

Additional community research had also been undertaken regarding the King Street toilets. Refurbishment of them is an aim in the NH Plan. An asbestos survey has been completed and a specification of works is underway which will allow costs to be obtained for the works which will then require a funding strategy.

Community Committee - Chaired by Cllr Jon Hale

The Community committee are responsible for producing the half yearly newsletter, looking after the Facebook page, promotion of the villages, the purchase of Christmas trees and supporting events such as the Fireworks night which is now self-funding. The committee also works with InOdiham and the RAF to celebrate Armed Forces Day.

To celebrate 100 years of the RAF, as advertised there will be a street party and box cart race organised by the committee with help from the RAF and a working party. The event will include flypasts, music, cake and will be double the size of the jubilee party, with 1200 people being seated. The bespoke ticket website built by a member of the community for this event sold out within 24 hours. Tickets have been split between the RAF and the wider community. The Box Cart event, which is a free event open to all, will take place on the morning of the street party and is RAF themed. 10 teams have entered already and this event is taking up a lot of time of the great volunteers in the community that are involved and the Chairman wished to thank everyone for all of their work.

The Community committee also issue grants. Recent grants have been awarded to Buryfields Infant School, Odiham Bowls Club, Victim Support, Citizens Advice, the RAF Service Fund, Little Wings Nurse, the Royal British Legion and North Warnborough village hall. Grant applications are considered by the committee in January and July only and further details are on the website.

The committee partnered with All Saints Church to produce the yearly calendar, which is now entirely self-funded and this year has enabled the purchase of the First Responder bag. They also partnered with All Saints with the community awards. Last year it was awarded to RAF Odiham for its dedication and service to our community. The committee is currently asking for nominations for this year's awards. There will be 6 different organisations from the parish, meeting to review the nominations and it is hoped that these awards can be presented at the RAF 100 celebration event later this year.

#### Traffic and Transport Committee - Chaired by Cllr John Bell

The Traffic and Transport Committee initiatives, include footpaths and the SID. Recently, the committee formally requested that Mildmay resident's association leave the access open and are investigating the legality of this access being blocked and are also looking into registering the path as a right of way given its usage over almost 30 years. There is also concern that the fence and gate recently erected has been installed on community land. The Mildmay Court Resident's Association have suggested creating a new path through the active part of the cemetery which the amenity committee have agreed is not appropriate.

#### Planning Committee - Chaired by Cllr Mark Faulkner

Over the past 12 months the Planning Committee have reviewed 91 planning applications in our capacity as statutory consultees. The pie chart shows the breakdown of applications that OPC and Hart DC have reviewed (Appendix 5). The Chairman reinforced that OPC are just consultees in the planning process.

### **5. Question time**

The questions received prior to meeting were responded to in the order in which they were received by the office which, as, already explained, the Chairman had already endeavoured to answer during his presentation in order to provide as much time as possible further questions during the meeting.

#### **1. Received 22 April 2018**

'Please will the Chairman give a full update on the Parish Poll which takes place on 3rd May?'

#### **2. Received 23 April 2018**

'In recognition of the policies of the Parish Neighbourhood Plan, and in view of the overwhelming public response to Hart District Council objecting to the Deer Park planning application 17/03029/FUL, will the Parish Council now withdraw its support for the scheme?'

#### **3. Received 23 April 2018**

'With Polling Day on Thursday, voting in the Parish Poll will be between 4pm and 9pm. Please can a spokesperson be given the opportunity to explain what this is about?'

*County Cllr Glen introduced question time. He explained that he had been asked to compere this part of the meeting, in order to provide the Chairman with opportunity to be free to concentrate on the questions asked. He went on to ask that questions come quickly and that people endeavour to not take up too much time so as to allow as many questions as possible. He explained that he would return to the questioner to check that people are happy that their question has been answered.*

*Office staff have tried to capture all the questions that were asked at the Annual Parish Assembly however due to the amount of questions and length of this agenda item it proved a difficult task.*

#### **4. Mrs Pratt read her question to the meeting as follows:**

I believe the Parish Council plays a very important and necessary role in our community and has a good track record of providing benefit in many ways through their decision making. I like to think that Parish Councillors, like school governors, are trying to do their best for the community they serve.

We elect our Councillors. They are representative of the wards of our community but not delegates. As a member of the community who elected our representatives, I expect to see them behaving within the Nolan principles that apply to the conduct of people in public life and not to witness or hear of conflict between these key players played out during meetings or in front of the press or public. Likewise, I don't want to witness abusive behaviour from members of our community when they disagree with a decision that has been democratically made on our behalf.

I expect Councillors to prepare, consider all relevant facts, policy and advisory guidance, debate and provide a voice for all sections of the community that they represent and then take part in voting and respect decisions made by the majority of those present. I expect them to abide by and publicly support majority decisions, even though it is possible that not all will personally agree with the outcome. In respect of the deer park application that is causing so much consternation, there have been differences of opinion. Every time there is a vote some of us will not agree with the outcome because, as a community we do not all share the same views. But I believe due process has been followed and therefore I have not lost confidence in any Parish Councillors and respect their right to make a decision on our behalf.

Sadly, I recall that pre-2006, several Parish Councillors felt forced to resign to protect themselves from vicious personal abuse and threats to their safety from some members of the community when the planning application for Burlingham Grange in North Warnborough was supported by the Parish Council. We need to make sure that we are not condoning similar behaviours in 2018.

Finding someone who will put their head above the parapet as a volunteer for election to public life such as the Parish Council is not easy at the best of times so, here is my question:

'How are we, the community, going to encourage succession to the Parish Council and ensure a diversity of gender, skills and attitudes that are needed for a successful team, if it means that as our elected representatives, they are going to be either at risk of personal abuse, or a call for a vote of no confidence just because their democratic decision making process did not result in an outcome that some of the community wanted? Why would anyone bother to put themselves through that?'

Mrs Pratt was thanked for her question. In respect of the question on how to encourage people to become a Cllr, the Chairman expressed his thoughts and that in the current noise it will be hard to encourage people to take this role on. He explained how he had been personally threatened and has been sworn at in front of his daughter. He believes that a Cllr has had his employer written to, to request they not let them be Cllr. However, there are hopefully a lot of good things that Cllrs can do in the community. Cllrs have different enthusiasm for different things to drive the community forward. People can support Cllrs and there is lots of support, it is important to look at the positive and encourage and ask people to stand.

#### **5. Mr Oliver**

A concern was raised about rubbish, tree felling's, large bags and now a tyre that has been left for some considerable time lying on the verge along Robert May's School, people have also driven on the verge. Mr Oliver expressed feeling a degree of frustration having contacted both Hart DC and Hampshire CC about the matter and to no avail.

County Cllr Glen urged the resident to leave their contact details at the end of the meeting and he would look into this matter for him.

The Chairman added that should residents notice that more bins are required then he encouraged people to make contact. On this occasion the concerns of the resident were that the problem was bigger than requiring a bin.

6. 'What is your relationship with other parishes such as Hook in order to address the matter of improving the pathways between Odiham and Hook station?'

The Chairman explained that OPC have limited relations with other parishes.

Cllr Bell explained that we are looking to improve the footpath up to the footbridge over the motorway and the landowner is happy for this to continue. He went on to agree that some sort of improved communication with Hook Parish Council would enable an improvement in collaborative working on issues such as this.

The Chairman suggested that this could be raised at the Traffic Partnership meetings.

Cllr Stewart advised that in his role as footpaths officer he would be happy to take this matter to Hampshire CC.

County Cllr Glen confirmed that Hampshire CC is looking at improving the bridleway over the bridge and he agreed with the Chairman's suggestion that this matter could be raised at the next Traffic Partnership meeting.

## **7. Mr Verdon**

It was firstly conveyed that, 'all of us support the excellent work OPC do in the community. Why did you disband the NH Plan steering group?'

The Chairman acknowledged the formidable and fabulous work done by the former NH Plan steering group in his response. The work on the Plan has concluded, it has been made by Hart DC and OPC is now working towards its policies.

## **8. Further questions and points were raised by members of the public during question time about the Deer Park planning application including:**

Mr Peal asked; 'Can you please explain why OPC did not follow the minutes of 22 Jan 2018 regarding local gaps?'

Mr Woolridge asked; 'Can we hear from one of the Cllrs not in support of the deer park?'  
'The deer park is to be protected from development by restricted covenants by developing part of it. If Hart DC cannot guarantee that it cannot be protected will OPC reverse their decision?'  
'Did Cllr Hale mislead OPC regarding the email received by Daryl Philips?'

Further concern was raised by a member of public; 'given that advice from Hart DC on the restricted covenants, it is not likely to provide protection in perpetuity'.

Concern was also raised about the reference to the 'the communities view' being heard through a leaflet that had been distributed by some Cllrs prior to the parish poll.

Mr Bradley asked; 'How do you manage when the majority do not object and the minority do and how do you expect the minority to behave when they don't get what they want?'

The Chairman explained that the gap policy is considered and balanced against the wider benefits and how the benefits can protect the gap. This is a statutory planning consideration for the planning officer of Hart DC.

The Chairman reminded the Assembly, that, OPC were specifically asked to consider the planning benefits with focus on the public benefits. As consultees, the benefits look pretty good and against the NH Plan it sets out a vision that the Deer Park proposal can deliver as benefits to the community. It is now over to Hart DC who has quite a job to do and they are requesting more information.

The Chairman acknowledged that people are entitled to be frustrated with Cllrs who have a different view and reminded the Assembly, that as Cllrs we have to reach our own decisions as delegated representatives. He apologised to those that feel we do not represent you and reinforced that we cannot just be delegated to the loudest group which would be the easy but cowardly way to reach a decision. He also spoke about there being quite a number of people that he was aware of that feel that the proposal looks great and from his perspective he based his decision on what he felt was right and on the public benefits. He hoped that this has not ruined his reputation in the community but that he understands we

have not represented the views of those who shout the loudest and that the decision represented the wider community. He also reinforced again that Hart DC will look at all of the submitted comments.

#### **10. Mr Patterson**

'Would you not agree it a false and bogus argument that it cannot be assumed that those that have not expressed an opinion can be on the other side and claim to have the majority opinion?'

The Chairman reinforced that this was why Cllrs have to form their own opinion, recognising that not everyone stands up and supports things and to not base decisions purely on the objections.

The Chairman explained that from a personal perspective, protection from the covenants was a significant reason for his decision on this application. However, if covenants were not offered in perpetuity he would be tempted to reconsider. He went on to explain that it is his understanding that the legal covenants are the best protection available and that OPC will be included with Hart DC and the landowner. This, he believed, was better than the land being sold and he acknowledged that the 'in perpetuity' part is very important.

11. 'With reference to OPC's newsletter defending OPC's decision to defend the Deer Park application it states that several residents support the Deer Park'. The questioner went on to state they had looked up the definition of several and made the point that 'Yet 500 people had taken the time to write objection's'. They questioned whether the Chairman has had formal training on giving misinformation or was this poor use of English?'

The Chairman acknowledged that he should probably have chosen a different word to several and reinforced that he had not intended to mislead and sincerely apologised for the use of the word.

#### **12. Mr Plumbe**

'In the context of the alleged benefits attached to the Deer Park application, why does OPC chose to ignore the true facts relating to the proposed cycle way and access?' They went on to explain there are '5 owners and 2 public footpaths at the western access. Hampshire has not considered the area outside the Deer Park which is a private road and the effect this will have on the access, they did not believe that there is consent from all and how can that possibly be a public benefit?'

The Chairman referred to the map and his understanding is that there should not be any issues and it is a benefit that is also within the NH Plan. It is for Hart DC to consider and that OPC provided their comments based on the benefits and on the NH Plans vision to provide a cycleway between Odiham and North Warnborough. This proposal delivers a cycleway.

13. 'The Chairman said he was advised by Hart DC to comment on the benefits and where was this question?'

The Chairman expressed that he believed that this was conveyed at the meeting attended by Cllrs attending the meeting with Hart DC and that we were steered in this direction.

14. 'When OPC replied to Hart DC, why did they not represent the community as they would expect you to do?'

The Chairman explained that Hart DC can see all the objections and there is no need for us to repeat the obvious. We do not comment on the objections. Hart DC are the local planning authority and they do not expect us to consider the policies, however, as the planning authority they will do so.

15. 'We were excited about the proposals. The Deer Park is difficult to use and I support the proposed cycle way'. They questioned; 'If we did not take this opportunity for a cycleway how much would this cost?'

The Chairman expressed that he believes an all-weather cycle path would cost £200,000 which OPC could not provide. He went on to explain that the landowner's proposal will mean he is giving up a lot of rights over the land and he appears to want to create something positive for the community.

## **16. Mr Harvey**

Mr Harvey requested a copy of the email received from Hart DC's Chief Executive today. Further to this he questioned that; 'In the interests of the openness and transparency of the council, why is it that a FOI request has to be made to OPC, yet email the Chief Executive of Hart DC and they respond straight away?'

The Chairman explained that the office staff have limited time and do a great job. Within the parish council office everything is treated in a time frame. The office has systems in place, deadlines to hit and they have a limited resource.

**17. 'Why did the leaflet distributed by OPC not include the actual parish poll question?'**

The Chairman advised that the leaflet was distributed by the 5 Cllrs and not OPC and reinforced that the question is on the website and Facebook page. It was felt that suggestions had been made that OPC did not really do very much. He also added that Hart DC had commented that the question is not clear and is single minded. Despite advice it was worded to ensure that 'the lack of confidence' was included.

Mr Bradley shared an opinion that they felt that the parish poll question was impossible to answer.

## **18. Mrs Fleming**

Mrs Fleming asked about the comment made by Cllr Worboys who had 'referred to the 100s of comments that had been given on the play park' and that they understood that a decision to spend £160,000 of the parish's money had been made on this basis. She commented that paper copies of the survey were not provided and asked; 'When under pressure are the 7 now happy to provide paper copies?'

The Chairman explained that there is £60,000 allocated in s106 contributions for junior play equipment which has been approved by Hart DC. He reinforced that regarding engagement, this process helps to influence and inform decisions. Regarding the teen project this money was allocated in the s106 agreement for Montfort Place specifically for provision of a teenage amenity. We did conduct a survey to ask the community where and what, to influence the decision.

Mrs Fleming commented that in the past this money had been for the refurbishment of the Baker Hall which was a teenage facility and said that the teenage survey had been conducted by someone that didn't want teenagers walking past their house.

**19. 'Is the junior rec money tied to the recreation ground?'**

The Chairman explained that Hart DC collect s106 contributions from developers. This was a pre-approved project by Hart DC with a level of spend of £60,000 and he believed specifically for improvements at the recreation ground.

## **20. Mr O'Neil**

'What is happening to the old co-op?'

The Chairman did not know but hoped that it would be still be used as a shop.

## **21. Mr O'Neil**

'Is there any update on Deptford Lane?'

The Chairman did not have a current update. However, he explained that he was aware that various enforcement actions had been taken over recent months. PCSO Liz Wallace was asked if she had an update but did not.

## **22. Mrs Hallum**

'Why was the APA brought forward to this date, 2 days before elections as this puts the district in a difficult position?'

The Chairman explained the rationale for moving the date of this year's APA was because there were a lot of questions about the Deer Park given the current situation. OPC decided to bring the assembly forward to provide opportunity for people to ask questions on the Deer Park planning application. He explained that the landowner of the Deer Park was invited to attend the APA so that people could ask questions. Unfortunately, he had chosen not to attend the meeting but had provided a leaflet which was available to take this evening (Appendix 6).

**23. 'What is happening with the derelict Swan Pub?'**

The Chairman confirmed he had not had an update regarding this matter for some time but OPC is aware that Hart DC are going through the process of applying for a compulsory purchase order. County Cllr Glen advised that he believed that the owner has been tracked down.

**24. Mrs McPhee**

Mrs McPhee pointed out that they have been attending the monthly council meetings regularly for some time and feels that those meetings have not been held in the way tonight's meeting has been conducted. She conveyed her view that if only every parish meeting was conducted in the way this meeting has been conducted and went on to express how sorry to hear that the Chairman has been treated badly (bullied). She added, this meeting this evening is not a reflection of a parish meeting and asked if 'In the future could we go forward with this atmosphere?' and went on to say that she does not see democracy always happening at the meetings.

The Chairman explained that this meeting was an APA. Council meetings are required to follow a format. We have diverse views and are a pretty fractured council. A highly divisive issue will create some friction. Unfortunately, council meetings can be a pressure cooker and I appreciate your observations. However, every decision is made democratically by voting and Cllrs do have very strong views. The Chairman acknowledged that OPC could do better to get along.

Mrs McPhee felt this did not answer her question and went on to state that 'the way you treat parishioners you need to work harder to be more civil to people attending the meetings which are very unpleasant and civility should be shown to each other and to parishioners'.

The Chair also recognised how difficult the role of the Clerk as gatekeeper was in keeping the council in line and he offered an apology and will take on board the questioner's comments.

Cllr Fellows spoke about his perspective on the atmosphere within OPC and that he had been verbally intimidated by a Cllr and been called a bully at a public meeting. He went on to express that in his opinion standing orders are abused and he supported what the resident had said. He felt that the intimidating and bullying atmosphere went way beyond the matter of the Deer Park and that OPC needs to seriously look at the way it conducts itself.

**25. Mr Sheppard**

Mr Sheppard spoke regarding the community's view being heard through the parish council; 'You say Why did we support the vision' It's the policies not the vision that count. He went on to state that 'In the leaflet you say enhanced protection, 'What do you mean by community in the leaflet? Don't you really mean the majority of the parish council?'

The Chairman encouraged Mr Sheppard to consider standing himself as a parish Cllr next year.

**6. Chairman to close the meeting**

The meeting closed at 9.50pm. County Cllr Glen thanked everyone for attending and thanked All Saints Church for hosting the assembly.